

JAN 10 2011

August-Euler-Zeile 11
14089 Berlin

GERMANY

United States Department of Commerce
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Commissioner for patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Unites States of America

10th January, 2011

Application Number: 10/736,100**Attorney Docket Number: Bg/mmg64**

Serial Number: NA
Group art unit number: 3715
Filing date: 16th December 2003
Name of examiner: Jack Yip
Title of Invention: A system and method to give a true indication of respondent satisfaction to an electronic questionnaire survey
Name of Applicant Martin Gosling

Re: Reply to final office action from 12th October, 2010

To whom it may concern,

I hereby request reconsideration of my patent application referenced above in light of the examiner's rejection being based on an incorrect interpretation of the application, especially with regards to how the current application correlates to a human being.

Unfortunately this fact first came to light in the final response to my previous amendment in the response to arguments and in a subsequent telephone conversation on 13th December, 2010 with the examiner and his supervisor.

Please find attached:

Request for Continued Examination (RCE) Transmittal on page 2 of this paper**Credit Crard Payment Form** on page 3 of this paper

Remarks and Arguments to the final rejection including Remarks and Arguments to the previous rejection from 15th March, 2010 which were duplicated in the final rejection, which begin on page 4 of this paper

Amendments to the Specification which begin on page 24 of this paper**Amendments to the Claims** which begin on page 28 of this paper